UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Regino Serrano-Cosio			_ Case Number: _	CR 11-1947-03-PHX-ROS		
	cordance stablishe	=	142(f), a detention hearing has	been held. I conclude that the following facts		
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
		by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
		PAI There is probable cause to believe the	RT I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	d				
		an offense for which a maxir 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 4	num term of imprisonment of tel 5 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	n years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§		
		an offense under 18 U.S.C.	§§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
		an offense listed in 18 U.S.C imprisonment of ten years or	§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimmore is prescribed.	nes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
		an offense involving a minor	victim prescribed in	1		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
			Alternative Findings			
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					
×	(1)	(C	TATEMENT OF REASONS FO neck one or both, as applicable.)	PR DETENTION ing establish by clear and convincing evidence		
		The nature of the allegations which is	ne time of arrest, had Defendar	er of a significant and apparently sophisticated nt facilitating the loading of approximately 21		

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
×	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.			
The defendant initially attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement				
×	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of10 years incarceration and a maximum of life			
The ty				
and for	sk of flight is increased by the fact that Defendant has significant ties to Mexico. He was born there and his parents ur siblings live there. Moreover, although he travels to United States for work, he currently resides in Mexico with his e, who was deported from the United States last year, and their children. In addition, the Defendant's status as a lega nent resident would be terminated if he were convicted in this case.			

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 7th day of October, 2011

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge

Page 2 of 2